

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/660,005

Applicant(s)

SAULPAUGH ET AL.

Examiner

Greg Bengzon

Art Unit

2144

All Participants:

(1) Greg Bengzon.

(2) _____

Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(3) Robert Kowert.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISOR, PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant representative was to provide support in the Specifications regarding 'computer executable code built in to said device'. The Applicant cites the following portions of the Specifications : Page 13, Line 29 thru Page 14 Line 30
Page 31 Line 16 thru Page 32 Line 4
Page 43 Lines 2-18
Page 44 Lines 12 thru Page 46 Line 12.